

115 Thompson Road Anderson, SC 29624

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

Enrollment 365 Students

864-296-9191 **Principal** Carolyn Brown Superintendent Dr. Mason Gary 864-348-6196 **Board Chair Curtis Wiles** 864-348-6196

HE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA **ANNUAL SCHOOL** REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD YFAR ABSOLUTE RATING GROWTH RATING 2013 Average Good 2012 Average Average

2011 Average Average 2010 Average Average 2009 Below Average At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2012-13 whose 2011-12 test scores were located

96.6%

ADOOLLITE DATIMOO	OF ELEMENTARY	COLLOCI O MITH OF	FUDENTO LUZE OUDO
ABSOLUTE RATINGS	OI LELIVILIVIAINI	OCHOOLO WITH O	

ADDOCUTE IVATINGS OF ELEMENTARY CONCOCCO WITH STODE IN TO CIRC								
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk				
10	19	94	14	1				

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.



^{*} Elementary schools with Students Like Ours are elementary schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of Critical Terms						
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.					
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.					
Not Met "Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.						

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n=365)	400.00/	N. O.	100.0%	400.00/
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No Change		100.0%
Retention rate	0.8%	Down from 1.8%	1.2%	0.9%
Attendance rate	96.0%	Down from 96.1%	96.0%	96.3%
Served by gifted and talented program	3.8%	N/A	4.9%	7.2%
With disabilities	18.9%	N/A	14.1%	12.4%
Older than usual for grade	3.2%	N/A	2.6%	1.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	61.5%	Down from 65.4%	60.9%	62.5%
Continuing contract teachers	92.3%	Down from 96.2%	83.0%	83.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	85.8%	Down from 88.3%	87.7%	88.3%
Teacher attendance rate	93.8%	No Change	94.7%	95.0%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,283	Up 0.3%	\$47,372	\$48,193
Professional development days/teacher	40.7 days	Up from 33.0 days	10.9 days	11.0 days
School				
Principal's years at school	5.0	Up from 4.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	18.4 to 1	Down from 18.5 to 1	19.8 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.1%	Up from 89.0%	89.5%	90.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	98.4%	Down from 98.5%	100.0%	100.0%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,044	Up 10.1%	\$7,511	\$7,364
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	64.0%	Down from 65.1%	68.0%	68.0%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	61.0%	Down from 61.4%	65.0%	66.0%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Flat Rock Elementary School welcomed our students, staff, and community for the 2012-2013 school year to the theme of "Wild About Learning!"

Our instructional focus for the year was engaging the learners. Our math instruction was delivered with a problem solving approach. Math instruction was supplemented with daily individualized computer instruction through "Success Maker." Our balanced literacy approach to reading and writing emphasized truly engaging the learner with interesting informational text. Our writing instruction was delivered through the four -square strategy with nine week writing benchmarks. Individualized instruction was given to students through LLI small groups and academic assistance. MAP and FP benchmarks were used as assessments to tailor individualized instruction for all.

Our community outreach program focused on our families. Movie nights were sponsored by our PTO. Various community organizations such as the YMCA, Girl and Boy Scouts, Good News, Backpack Buddies, Michelin Buddies, etc. supported our school community.

Our goal will continue to be to embrace, inspire, and challenge every day.

Carolyn Brown, Principal

Mary Boggs, School Improvement Council Chairman

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	30	61	49				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100%	93.5%	98%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100%	95%	96%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	96.7%	91.8%	94%				

^{*} Only students at the highest elementary school grade level and their parents were included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	86.6
Overall Grade Conversion	В

Index Score	Grade	Description	
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.	
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.	
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.	
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.	
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations	

Accountability Indicator for Title I Schools

Flat Daak	Flementary	Cahaal	اممامما	haa haan	

V	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	2.3%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	4.9%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes
Student attendance rate	96.0%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Flat Rock Elementary School 12/14/13-0403027							
Performance By Group							
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	
		Grad	des 3-5				
All Students	650.2	653.0	613.6	627.7	100.0	99.5	
Male	649.8	663.8	619.5	629.1	100.0	98.9	
Female	650.7	641.1	607.5	626.1	100.0	100.0	
White	652.9	654.6	615.1	629.6	100.0	99.3	
African American	630.1	641.2	600.1	611.3	100.0	100.0	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0	
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Subsidized meals	642.2	642.9	604.6	621.5	100.0	99.3	
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	635.0	635.0	635.0	635.0	95.0	95.0	

Flat Nock Elementary School											
PASS Performance By Grade Level											
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary				
			Englisl	n/Language A	irts						
	3	56	100	28.8	32.7	38.5	71.2				
~	3 4	65	100	25.4	45.8	28.8	74.6				
2012		66	100	31.7	33.3	35	68.3				
120	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	<u>8</u> 3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A 47.2	N/A				
		56	100	20.8	32.1	47.2	79.2				
3	4	63	100	32.2	42.4	25.4	67.8				
2013	5	64	100	24.6	43.9	31.6	75.4				
2	6 7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
			M	lathematics							
	3	56	100	40.4	32.7	26.9	59.6				
2	4	65	100	27.1	42.4	30.5	72.9				
2012	5	66	100	21.7	55	23.3	78.3				
7	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	56	100	28.3	24.5	47.2	71.7				
3	4	63	100	28.8	39	32.2	71.2				
2013	5 6	64	98.4	16.1	46.4	37.5	83.9				
2		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7 8	N/A N/A	N/AV N/AV	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A				
	0	IN/A	IN/AV		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A				
		1		Science	1	1					
	3	29	100	48.1	33.3	18.5	51.9				
2	4	65	100	32.2	61	6.8	67.8				
2012	5	35	100	15.6	56.3	28.1	84.4				
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	<u>8</u> 3	N/A	N/AV 100	N/A 26.0	N/A 53.8	N/A 10.2	N/A 73.1				
	4	28 63	100	26.9 47.5	53.8 40.7	19.2 11.9	73.1 52.5				
2013		33	100	25.8	58.1	16.1	74.2				
0	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
.,,	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
		,,,		,, .	,, .	,, .					

· loc		iary corroor					/10 0 1000E1				
PASS Performance By Grade Level											
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary				
Social Studies											
	3	27	100	52	28	20	48				
2	4	65	100	25.4	64.4	10.2	74.6				
2012		31	100	50	21.4	28.6	50				
70	5 6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	28	100	29.6	40.7	29.6	70.4				
3	4	62	98.4	27.6	48.3	24.1	72.4				
\overline{Z}	5 6	31	100	46.2	34.6	19.2	53.8				
2013		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Writing											
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2012	5	67	98.5	32.8	34.4	32.8	67.2				
5 (6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	3	55	98.2	48.1	32.7	19.2	51.9				
3	4	63	98.4	46.6	41.4	12.1	53.4				
2013	5	64	100	25.9	58.6	15.5	74.1				
2	6	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	7	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	8	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				